anterialn INFORMATION

William NIDIECZZZ

ES/MI # 435

17 January 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: See Distribution

SUBJECT

Meetings

Type of Neeting	•	SIG-TEP Thursday, 19 January 84			
Date	•				
Time	_	3:00			
Place	•	Roosevelt Room			
Chaired By	· ·	Secretary Regan			
Principal Only?	•	Plus One			
Subject/Agenda	: _	o Export Administration Act			
•		6 International Chapter of Draft CEA Annual Report			
		o International Debt Update			
When to Expect Papers	•	Will Advise			
Time Info Received	:	Per Sonya, ES Treasury 4:30			
•					

Distribution: 0/001 ExBir DDI Chm/nJC DDO / SA/IA OCPAS/SDO E.S D/ES

19 January: Meeting canceled

NIO LECON +

STAT STAT 061

STAT


Approved For Release 2008/10/24 : CIA-RDP85-01156R000200250029-8

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT ROUTING SLIP

TO:			ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
	ī	DCI				
	2	DDCI				
	3	EXDIR				
	4	D/ICS				
	5	DDI				
	6	DDA				
	7	DDO				
	8	DDS&T				[
	9	Chm/NIC				
	10	GC				
	11	IG				
	12	Compt		/	1	
	13	D/EEO				
	14	D/Pers				
	15	D/OLL				
	16	C/PAO		7		
	17	SA/IA				
	18	AO/DCI				
	19	C/IPD/OIS	//			
(20	NO GON	4			
•	21	,	,			
	22					
		SUSPENSE		Date		
Remarks				-		
Me	t	ing came	ulah))	2	
						Secretory



THE SECRETARY OF THE TREASURY WASHINGTON 20220



January 18, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR THE VICE PRESIDENT

THE SECRETARY OF STATE

THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE

THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE

UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR NATIONAL SECURITY AFFAIRS

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT & DEPUTY TO THE CHIEF

OF STAFF

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR CABINET AFFAIRS

CHAIRMAN, COUNCIL OF ECONOMIC ADVISORS

ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT

SUBJECT

Senior Interdepartmental Group on International Economic Policy (SIG-IEP)

A meeting of the SIG-IEP is scheduled to be held on Thursday, January 19, at 3:00 p.m., in the Roosevelt Room. The agenda is as follows:

Export Administration Act

Status of Act

Status of Act

Outstanding Commerce/DOD Differences

Report on Resolution of Commerce/Treasury Issues.

1/18/84

2. International Debt Update.

An options paper on agenda item 1(b) is attached. paper was prepared by an interagency staff group chaired by OMB and circulated for policy-level clearance. Agenda item 2 will be an oral report.

Attendance will be principal, plus one.

Attachment

EXPANDED DEFENSE REVIEW (Sec. 10(g))

Issue

Should the Department of Defense' (DOD) review of national security export licenses be expanded to include exports to non-Communist destinations where there may be risk of diversion?

Background

Under Section 10(g) of the current Export Administration Act (EAA), DOD has the right to review any proposed export of any national security goods or technology to any country to which exports are controlled for national security purposes. In general, only license applications for Warsaw Pact countries and the Peoples Republic of China have been referred to DOD. However, the EAA does not prohibit DOD review of any license application which may be referred from Commerce. The question of expanding DOD review to include shipments to non-Communist destinations results from concerns that tightened controls over direct exports to Communist countries has increased the incentive to divert to Communist countries shipments intended for friendly destinations.

When the Administration's position on renewal of the EAA was prepared last spring, it was agreed that the Administration would oppose legislative change and that DOD and the Department of Commerce (DOC) would seek to resolve this issue administratively. The two departments appeared to be close to signing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) last June that would allow expanded DOD review of particularly sensitive categories of exports to certain free-world destinations. However, agreement was not reached on whether DOD should review requests for multiple shipment licenses. These bulk licenses enable shipments of a broad range of authorized commodities to approved consignees (of whom there are 22,000). This license category facilitates trade to COCOM and other friendly countries.

Legislative Status

Senate Bill (S. 979) -- Expands DOD clear right to review to include proposed exports of militarily critical goods or technology to free world destinations where the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Commerce, determines that there is a clear risk that those exports may be diverted to proscribed destinations. This bill has been reported out by committee and is awaiting floor action.

House Bill (H.R. 3231) -- Makes no change to current law. The bill has been passed by the full House.

Options

1. As agreed in the draft MOU, expand DOD's review to include individual license applications to export specific commodities to selected free-world countries which Defense and Commerce determine pose a high risk of diversion. DOD would not review multiple license applications. Commerce would undertake its own annual review and report to the ACEP.

Pros:

- Could increase capacity to prevent diversions.
- Would likely preempt legislatively imposed solution.

Cons:

- o Would cause some increased burden on licensing system due to DOD's expanded review from approximately 5,000 cases to 11,000 cases (out of a universe of 90,000 licenses issued annually).
- Could cause delays for U.S. exporters (although most acceptable option to business interests).
- 2. In addition to the increased DOD role provided in Option 1, create an interagency process for reviewing the multiple license process and recommending any changes necessary to minimize diversion. The interagency Advisory Committee on Export Policy (ACEP), chaired by Commerce, could create a Standing Subcommittee on Multiple Licenses, comprised of representatives of Commerce, Defense and State, to:
 - Conduct an annual audit of the multiple license program, and
 - Report to the ACEP its findings and recommendations concerning necessary steps to minimize the risk of diversion through the multiple license program.

This Subcommittee's annual review would examine: 1) criteria for evaluating applications for multiple licenses, 2) coverage of commodities eligible for multiple licenses, 3) the adequacy of information in the licensing base, and 4) ways to insure that this information is disseminated to appropriate agencies for investigative purposes. This Subcommittee could also be charged with developing appropriate exemptions to multiple licenses to prevent licensing to countries or importers where there is a reasonable suspicion of diversion.

Pros:

- o Would be more likely than Option 1 to preempt congressional attempts to resolve the issue of multiple licenses.
- A permanent arrangement could facilitate interagency cooperation and coordination on these issues.

Cons:

- o Because changes to the multiple licensing system would not be immediate, this option is less likely than option 3 to satisfy congressional supporters of a tighter licensing system.
- Commerce objects to an interagency review of one of its programs, since it has offered in Option 1 to undertake its own annual review and to report to the ACEP.
- 3. In addition to increased DOD role provided in Option 1, allow DOD review of selected multiple license applications. This would provide DOD with discretion to review multiple license applications for exports of goods and militarily critical commodities to free world destinations. Under this option, DOD would have authority to review multiple shipment licenses.

Of all options:

Pros:

- Most likely to preempt legislatively imposed remedy.
- Could provide greatest assurance that diversion is minimized.

Of all options:

Cons:

- Would likely further increase burden on licensing system.
- Would be most strongly opposed by U.S. exporters.